

INTAKE

The Newsletter of the Society for the Study of Ingestive Behavior
www.ssib.org

President's Message: Whither SSIB

Thomas R. Scott, San Diego State University

Twenty years ago the Society for Neuroscience, startled by its own growth as it approached its teenage years, searched for its future. The phrase we heard at meetings, read in newsletters and editorials was “whither neuroscience?”. The Society found a successful path in inclusiveness. From molecular genetics to cells, to systems, to cognition, and pathology; from anatomy to physiology and chemistry to behavior, SFN embraced all and flourished. The main challenge the Society has now is itself, grown formal and daunting, with a meeting less sought for its pleasures than demanded by its gravity.

SSIB now approaches its teen years. In contrast, we remain a club. Our mission statement professes that we are “an organization committed to advancing scientific research on food and fluid intake and its associated biological, psychological and social processes.” I readily accept the academic nerdiness of the prose, but am concerned that we satisfy only a portion of that spectrum. We are nearly all physiologists, and are perceived as such. I can think of only two SSIB Presidents who have not been animal physiologists. That uniformity is comfortable, almost familial. We know and, for the most part, like one another. It is also a constraint. The human eating community, citing our narrowly-defined identity, cordially declined our invitation to join us in Philadelphia, preferring to form their own group. The vast industry that advises people on diets largely ignores us. SSIB needs to determine whether it is satisfied being a circumscribed community of respected scholars, or if we seek to be a force for mobilizing the fragmented societies that lie in our sphere: AChemS, NAASO, Nutrition Society, Food Choice, ASFS. It is not clear which

option is preferable, but not to ask the question defaults to the former.

Less weighty topics: SSIB now has an archive in the Special Collections Library at Columbia University. When you head uptown to do your SSIB homework, Stephen Novak, Head of Special Collections, will check your membership status on the SSIB database, then give you unlimited access to the historical records of our Society. Ellen Ladenheim is our historian, and will accept written requests from non-SSIB members for permission to explore our past.

Most importantly, Wolfgang Langhans and the Program Committee have constructed a fine program for Philadelphia, which you will find on the SSIB website. Wolfgang, Harvey Grill and Marianne Van Wagner have all extended themselves to make the meeting lively as well as worthwhile. And even our nation’s Founders, whose wisdom we celebrate each year, knew that Philadelphia in the summer was the place to be.

Contents

- 2 SSIB New Investigators Forum
- 2 Corporate Sponsors
- 3 Member News
- 3 Minutes of the Board Meeting
- 6 Election Results
- 7 Positions Available
- 8 Calendar of Events
- 8 Staying in Touch

SSIB New Investigators Forum

Kath Curtis (curtis@darwin.psy.fsu.edu), NIF Communications

The NIF is sponsoring a funding seminar at the upcoming SSIB meeting in Philadelphia. Stacy Markison and Jon Roth have organized what promises to be an instructive seminar of particular relevance to those of us just starting out: how to obtain the necessary funding to do research. They have recruited representatives from NIH and NSF, including Dr. Mark Chavez from NIMH, Dr. Judith Podskalny from NIDDK, Dr. Berry Davis from NIDCD, and either Dr. Carol Van Hartesveldt or Dr. Linda Kennedy from NSF. Topics will range from initiatives at each of the represented institutions, to what reviewers look for in predoc and postdoc training applications, as well as in career development awards. There will be time for questions, and the speakers have been encouraged to bring material for attendees. The seminar is tentatively scheduled for Thursday, June 28, 2001, from 3:00-4:30 p.m. Details will be posted on the listserver as they become available, and final details will be included in the program. Registration is not required. We encourage all new investigators to attend and to provide feed back (email markison@cattell.psych.upenn.edu or jdroth@vet.upenn.edu).

NIF also is attempting to organize a semi-scientific investigation of a few establishments that specialize in supplying ingestibles during the annual meeting. The hypothesis is that new investigators have a great deal in common and the goal of this investigation is to test the hypothesis in a relaxed, informal setting. Again, details will be posted on *ingestjr*, a listserver specifically for New Investigators.

If you are not on this list, simply email majordomo@runner.utsa.edu with the message "HELP". You will then receive an e-mail reply describing how to subscribe. We hope this listserver will provide all junior scientists the opportunity to be kept updated on issues, which may be of interest to them. The listserver is also your way of communicating with *all* other NIs. A message can be posted by e-mailing: ingestjr@runner.utsa.edu. In addition, there is an area within the SSIB website dedicated to the NIF (<http://www.ssib.org>).

Finally, we at NIF also would like to congratulate the recipients of the 2001 New Investigator Awards:

2001 NI Awards

Dr. Deborah Clegg	Univ. Cincinnati
Dr. Schachar Eylam	Univ. Florida
Dr. Marci Gluck	Columbia Univ.
Dr. Charlotte Haynes	Columbia Univ.
Dr. Christian Hukshorn	Maastricht Univ.
Ms. Marleen Kamphuis	Maastricht Univ.
Ms. Eva Kovacs	Maastricht Univ.
Ms. Janet Latner	Rutgers Univ.
Dr. Tristan Robinson	Sussex Univ.
Ms. Dawna Salter	Univ. Southern Cal.
Dr. Lourdes Santos	U. Minhus, Portugal
Ms. Pearl Schroy	Penn. State Univ.
Mr. Sean Stocker	Univ. Pittsburgh
Mr. Robert Twining	Penn. State Univ.
Dr. Chuanfeng Wang	Univ. Minnesota VA
Mr. Robert Wheeler	Penn. State Univ.

Current Corporate Donors to SSIB

AMBI, Inc.
 Bestfoods
 Coulbourn Instruments, LLC
 Cyberonics
 DuPont Pharmaceuticals
 Eli Lilly and Co.
Physiology & Behavior - published by Elsevier
 Fine Science Tools
 Firmenich
 Janssen Research Foundation
 Johnson & Johnson
 Lindt & Spruengli (Switzerland) AG
 Merck Research Laboratories
 Nestlé
 Novartis
 Research Diets, Inc.
 Shape Up America!

SSIB relies on corporate sponsorship to help with the cost of meetings and young investigator travel. If you know of potential sponsors, please contact Tom Scott, Harvey Grill, or Nori Geary.

Member News

Paul Breslin of the Monell Chemical Senses Center has been selected as the 2001 recipient of the American Psychological Association Distinguished Scientific Award for Early Career Contribution to Psychology in the area of perception / motor performance. The award was established in 1974 by the APA to recognize excellent young psychologists.

The Night Eating Syndrome Project of the Department of Psychiatry, University of Pennsylvania is conducting a study of night eating syndrome which is a combined eating, sleep, and mood disorder. Kelly Costello, PhD and Albert Stunkard, MD would like to invite others who have seen patients with this syndrome to contact them with their accounts or other information; they would be happy to send the Night Eating Questionnaire, a screening instrument, to anyone interested. They can be contacted at kacostel@mail.med.upenn.edu.

The 2001 Rushton Symposium at the Florida State University was held March 30-31 on the topic of "Interactions of Neuroendocrinology, Metabolism, and Ingestion." The Rushton symposium was established in honor of the distinguished visual neuroscientist W.A.H. Rushton, formerly a regular visitor to FSU. The annual event provides FSU graduate students with formal and informal interactions with internationally recognized neuroscientists. This year's Rushton was organized by Lisa Eckel, Tom Houpt, and Marc Freeman, and brought Mary Dallman, Randy Seeley, Randall Sakai, Wolfgang Langhans, Mike Overton, Nori Geary, Lori Flanagan-Cato, Emilie Rissman, and Judy Cameron to Tallahassee for 2 days of lectures and the famous Wakulla River jungle cruise. Both the speakers and the alligators put on a great show.

SSIB Board Meeting

New Orleans, November 2000

Tom Scott presiding. In attendance: Susan Aja, Nori Geary, Harvey Grill, Kim Johnson, Harry Kissileff, Wolfgang Langhans, Ralph Norgren, Randall Sakai, Kenny Simansky, Suzanne Sunday, Alan Spector, Marianne Van Wagner.

1. Minutes from the previous meeting in Dublin. Kissileff asked if there was a discussion and a motion at the Dublin meeting based on the long-range planning committee (LRPC) report on including underrepresented disciplines in the meeting program. Spector and Scott replied that there was a discussion of this at the LRPC meeting no discussion or motion at the Board meeting. Acceptance of the Dublin minutes was moved, seconded and passed.

2. Treasurer's Report by Geary. Geary briefly discussed each of the items in the budget. He also discussed some of the items that were in this year's budget that needed to be moved to meeting expenses rather than the operating budget. There was a discussion about paying for officer travel to meetings. Kissileff stated that a policy on this was established in the past. If an officer wishes to ask for money to pay for their travel to a Board meeting, they must submit the request ahead of time to the President and the Treasurer. If the President or the Treasurer were requesting money, they would submit the request to the Past President as well.

Geary stated that after working with Van Wagner, we are ready to refund over \$18,000 to people for overpayment for accommodations in Dublin due to fluctuations in exchange rates (which is not deducted from the budget figures). There was an overage from the meeting of more than \$29,000. We might want to consider revising annual meeting charges in the future given these numbers. Kissileff asked if all of the 2000 dues were collected because there were 372 people who had not paid as of the last report, and asked if another notice was sent to the people who had not paid. Van Wagner answered yes, so it can be expected that we will get more dues payments. Geary suggested that we establish some type of approval system with the Board for checks that he would write over \$500 that are not related to meeting expenses. This was moved, seconded and passed.

For meeting expenses, Geary suggested that the Committee chairs should approve large checks. Simansky asked if Van Wagner's travel expenses needed to be approved. Geary stated that her travel was listed

specifically in the budget up to \$2000. If her expenses were to go over this amount, it would have to be approved. Spector asked if the NIH grant to support student travel award was just for one year. Geary stated that it was but a reapplication was being submitted. Spector pointed out that there were no funds listed in next year's budget for student travel awards, and asked if we are planning to pay for that out of corporate donations? Geary replied that yes, it would be paid for out of corporate donations but if those donations aren't enough, the Board can decide what to do but that this should not come from the operating budget. Scott asked about the high bank charges. Van Wagner explained the credit card charge system that accounts for much of those charges. Use of credit cards is a service for members, especially for foreign members.

Simansky asked if we would be using email and the Internet for items like the newsletter instead of incurring the large printing and mail costs. Kissileff mentioned that some organizations charge a premium if people want hard copies sent to them. Van Wagner pointed out that some people don't have access to email. Scott wondered if people would be as likely to read the newsletter if they had to go to the website. Simansky asked what the savings would be. Van Wagner stated that it was about \$1500 per mailing. Simansky suggested that we let people know that they can look at the newsletter online so that we can shift to this in the future. Scott suggested that we continue this discussion at the Board meeting in Philadelphia. It was suggested that Scott and Van Wagner discuss polling the membership about this. Scott asked what would happen with the people who were not in favor of this. It was suggested that those people would be mailed hard copies of the newsletter. It was suggested that Van Wagner put a counter on the website to see how many people look at the next newsletter online. Van Wagner said this could be done easily; she pointed out that polling people online about how they would like to receive the newsletter was problematic because the people who weren't online would not be polled.

Scott brought up the issue raised in Dublin about whether Officers and Board members should be insured. Norgren asked if anyone has ever been asked to comment about something as a member of society. The answer was no. There are other issues involved, however. For example, could we be held accountable for any actions taken by the society concerning sexual harassment charges that might occur at our meeting? If such a lawsuit were filed, the organization and its officers and Board would be included and there would be legal expenses. Scott suggested that we table this

until we get further information. It will be brought up again in Philadelphia.

Geary will work with Scott to draft an email concerning the reimbursement for housing charges from the Dublin meeting.

3. The Central Office.

a. SSIB Brochure: Copies of the SSIB brochure that Van Wagner put together in consultation with the Program and Local Organizing Committees were distributed. She has put them on tables (in the areas where ingestive posters and talks were being held) and registration area at the Neuroscience meeting. She encouraged Board members to hand them to people in the field. Van Wagner suggested that Board members take some of the brochures and approach some of the exhibitors and ask if they would be interested in participating in the Philadelphia meeting as an exhibitor or being a sponsor of SSIB.

b. Development of a Central Calendar: Kissileff sent Van Wagner a draft of one and Van Wagner is currently adding items and will present it to the board. This calendar would be for people in the society so they would know when they needed to have tasks completed. It was suggested that dates of other meetings also be listed. Scott said that those could appear in the newsletter but probably shouldn't be included in the central calendar.

c. Membership: Van Wagner has received 35 applications for new membership. The bylaws state that the Secretary and others designated by the board will receive applications. It is assumed that Van Wagner will receive such applications but the Board needs to approve them. Van Wagner stated that she would handle this through email. She asked if we wanted any information other than name, affiliation, and type of membership. It was suggested that she also include how the applicant found out about us. Van Wagner said that that is often difficult to determine. She made notations on the back of forms distributed in Dublin but we often don't know. It was asked what the membership criteria are. Kissileff read the sections from the bylaws about each of the membership categories; he pointed out that there are no criteria for corporate sponsors. Van Wagner stated that she reviews the applications to see if they fit the criteria as outlined in the bylaws, if there was a problem, she would consult the Membership Chair. Kissileff stated that in the past he would screen the applications and would consult with the Membership Chair/Secretary only if there were problems.

4. Operations Manual. Scott stated that Dorothy Gietzen is putting this together.

5. Committee Reports.

a. Composition of Committees. Scott identified those members whom he had asked to serve on SSIB committees, along with their dates of termination of service. Motion: To approve the new committee members.

b. Nominating Committee. The issue of nominating and voting via the Internet was again discussed. Van Wagner passed on some names of software she found on the Internet currently being used by other groups for voting security to Kim Johnson for him to check out. Norgren pointed out that we don't have a lot of our members voting and often elections are decided by a few votes. Issues of anonymity and authenticity were also discussed. Scott mentioned that AChemS uses electronic balloting (they contract out to a company) and it has worked effectively. Van Wagner expressed concern about the number of invalid ballots that were received and wondered if we were requiring too much on the ballots. Johnson said that many of the problems reflected the date the ballot was returned or the signature on the envelope either not being legible or omitted. Van Wagner suggested that we buy the type of paper that cannot be reproduced and then we wouldn't need envelopes signed. Kissileff pointed out that if we change the voting procedures, we would have to change the bylaws. He also asked about what we would do for people who do not have email if we move to electronic voting. Johnson said that people would be asked to check boxes to receive hard copies of ballots, otherwise they would do it via email. It was asked what proportion of the membership is online. Van Wagner guessed that it was approximately 85%, but she did point out that people often changed their email addresses so that many of the listserver items she sends out bounce back and that many servers are down. She estimated that at any given time a message sent by her would be received by 65% of the membership. Motion: The Nominating Committee submit to the Board by March 17, 2001, a plan by which the Society will be able to institute email balloting, taking into consideration issues of confidentiality and service to those members without email. The motion was seconded and passed.

c. Program Committee. The committee is currently composed of a chair and two other members. This is not enough people to do the required work. Therefore, it is recommended that the committee be increased in number from 3 to 6 plus the local organizer and a new investigator. The local organizer would serve for only 1 year. This change in bylaws will be sent to Board members for email vote.

d. Awards Committee. This was established at the Dublin meeting and it is recommended that the awards structure be standardized. Norgren has agreed to serve as chair of this committee. Scott suggested that this always be one of the duties of the President Elect. This committee should recommend to the Board which awards will be given. Kissileff suggested that we work on the wording of this and circulate it to the Board members.

e. Program Committee. Kissileff asked what we are doing to promote participation of underrepresented disciplines in the program. Langhans stated that there was an attempt to consider this in the suggested topics for the next meeting.

f. Historian/Archives Committee. Kissileff asked who was going to generate the contract. The decisions have all been made at previous meetings but we haven't sent the signed letter. We also need to establish a procedure about how often we want to put items into the Archives. Kissileff suggested that we submit materials once a year at the time of the President's report. Kissileff said that this should be submitted to the rest of Board members so they can vote on it.

g. Philadelphia Meeting. We have signed an agreement with the University of Pennsylvania for approximately \$56,000. That includes accommodation fees (that is actually the majority). We have an algorithm for registration costs that should bring us pretty close to breaking even. However, the membership think that the accommodations, services at poster sessions and so forth are quite minimal and these types of items should be upgraded or registration fees reduced. Members should understand where the money goes. Scott pointed out that one of the reasons that we are able to make profits from the meetings is that we get support from the federal government and corporations and that the profits go toward running the organization and helping new investigators. Spector said that the other issue is that we are always working with estimates of the numbers of people who will attend and that we aren't necessarily trying to make a profit but preventing a loss but we need to work out the registration fee far in advance. Grill said that the accommodations will be about \$40 per night.

Kissileff asked what the venue of the poster sessions would be, he expressed concern that the area would be large enough. Grill said that he did not believe that would be a problem—the space has good natural lighting too. They will also have more spacing between posters (the aisles). Kissileff pointed out that the membership needed to know well in advance the size of the posters.

h. Long Range Planning Committee. Because the accommodation costs in the Napa and Sonoma areas

were so high, we turned to considering UC Santa Cruz as a meeting site for 2002. Their information looks very good. The rates are reasonable--\$70 to a little over \$100. There is also a wide range of hotel accommodations in the area. The University is 65 miles south of San Francisco, several miles inland. Van Wagner received a videotape from them and said it looked very nice (it wasn't cost effective to present the tape at this meeting). Spector said that the one concern was about transportation. There is a Santa Cruz airport shuttle service for \$65 from the San Francisco and San Jose airports and cars can be rented. This looks like a very good choice for the 2002 meeting. MOTION: That the 2002 meeting be held at Santa Cruz. The motion was seconded and will be sent out via email for vote. 2004 hasn't been decided yet between Pittsburgh and Cincinnati. During the Dublin LRPC meeting, it was suggested that the 2004 meeting could be held in one of the two cities and 2005 in the other city (because there haven't been a lot of people volunteering to have a meeting). One of the members asked about the meeting conflicting with ICPFFI. Do we want to go back to trying to meet together with ICPFFI? A positive of meeting with ICPFFI is that we include an underrepresented faction within our society. Spector summarized the past difficulties we have encountered with joint meetings such as infrequent communications between the local organizing committee and the program committee. The issue is really one of control—we are trying to get consistency in the program. It is risky when the society goes into situations where we have no control and we would not have control over who is the organizer for ICPFFI. Kissileff stated that the next International Congress will be in Washington DC so a satellite at either Pittsburgh or Cincinnati would work.

7. M. Van Wagner's pay. Van Wagner is a University employee (so she maintains her health benefits) and we pay the University. She would like to maintain this. We are paying both salary and fringe for her to the University (at a rate of about \$12 an hour). (Note: Since the Board meeting, M. Van Wagner's status has been changed. She is currently an independent contractor with her payments no longer going through the University.)

8. New Investigators. New investigators were generally very happy with the increased events at the Dublin meeting. The Social (which lasted about 1 hour) was very successful and many new investigators did stay to learn more about the group, however, it was in the auditorium where you couldn't bring food and drink. The food was in the foyer and it wasn't protected and

Election Results

Congratulations to:

PRESIDENT-ELECT

Joseph R. Vasselli

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Linda M. Bartoshuk

Patricia Sue Grigson

Gary J. Schwartz

they did not get much of the food. For the future we will need to plan correctly with the room. The Career Seminar and Job Fair was scheduled during a poster session which was very unfortunate since the speakers were excellent. We will need to be sure that does not happen at future meetings. Langhans said that the Program Committee has difficulty finding times for extra things but they would try harder next time. Van Wagner suggested that perhaps these programs could be held during the lunch period during the first day of the meeting and lunch could be provided so the social contacts can be made at the start of the meeting; however, she stated that it was difficult to modify rooms close to the meeting time so that room requests should be made early.

The Career Seminar/Job Fair included a speaker from industry and a teaching institution, as well as representatives from labs that were looking for post-docs. Informational discussion time was planned, but unfortunately there weren't many people there. There were supposed to be tables set up but again, the room did not support that. At the Philadelphia meeting, we would like to have a more informal Job Fair that would be preceded by a social and we would like a room with tables and chairs for that purpose. Steven Benoit has volunteered to host and plan an informal social for New Investigators at the next Neuroscience meeting after the SSIB Social. They have worked on drafting a mission statement that they will share with the Board via email.

Adjourned.

*Respectfully submitted,
Suzanne Sunday, Secretary*

POSTDOCTORAL RESEARCH FELLOWSHIPS

The Department of Psychiatry, Weill Medical College of Cornell University, invites applications to a NIMH-sponsored, multidisciplinary training program in basic or clinical research on the regulation of feeding behavior and body weight. The faculty of 12 established investigators provides opportunities to participate in a variety of long-term, NIH-funded projects. The projects integrate a comprehensive range of basic and clinical interests to determine the peripheral and central mechanisms mediating ingestive behavior. The common goal is to translate basic findings into clinical investigations. Research interests include molecular genetics, molecular biology, neurochemistry, physiology (particularly neuropeptides, steroids, taste, visceral sensation, and the gut /brain axis), diagnosis, course, and treatment. A special focus of intensive study is the regulation of meal size in animals, in animal models of disordered eating and body weight, in normal human volunteers, and in patients with eating disorders and obesity. Animal research facilities include the Bourne Laboratory for Behavioral Research, the Laboratory of Molecular Neurobiology (both Weill/Cornell units located in Westchester), and the Division of Molecular Genetics (Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons in Manhattan). Clinical research facilities include dedicated inpatient and outpatient units for eating disorders at the Weill/Cornell and at the Columbia sites, and the Laboratory of Human Appetitive Behavior of the New York Obesity Research Center at St. Luke's Hospital in Manhattan. Applicants must be citizens or permanent residents (in possession of an official Immigrant Visa ['Green Card']) of the USA. Women and minorities are strongly encouraged to apply. Direct inquiries to Dr. James Gibbs, Bourne Laboratory, New York-Presbyterian Hospital, White Plains, NY 10605. (tel 914-997-5935; fax 914-682-3793; email: jgibbs@med.cornell.edu).

POSTDOCTORAL FELLOWSHIP on NIH training grant available immediately. The goal is to provide multidisciplinary training in basic neurobiology related to Neurological Disorders and Recovery of Function for individuals interested in research careers in these fields. This subproject studies the role of serotonergic and other receptor subtypes in supporting transplant-mediated recovery of motor function after spinal cord damage in rats. *Related work addresses the role of serotonin and neuropeptides in the brainstem in modulating motor patterns associated with ingestion.* The environment is very collaborative and supported by Program Project, individual federal and foundation grants. The interests of the faculty range from behavioral pharmacology and neurobiology to bioengineering of cells that express neurotrophic factors. Individuals with experience in behavioral analysis; in vitro pharmacological and molecular methods to study receptor/signaling function; or electrophysiology are encouraged to apply. Candidates should be US citizens or permanent residents with PhD, MD or comparable degree. Send CV, statement of research interests and 3 references to Kenny J. Simansky, Ph.D., Department of Pharmacology and Physiology, MCP Hahnemann University, 245 N. 15th Street, Mail Stop 488, Philadelphia, PA 19102-1192 (email: Simansky@Drexel.Edu). MCP Hahnemann University is an Equal Opportunity Employer.

POSTDOCTORAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATE POSITION

is available at Brooklyn College -City University of New York for an NIH-funded project on the Psychobiology of Learned Food Preferences. The project is examining how nutrients act in the mouth, gut, and postabsorptively to condition flavor preferences, the brain mechanisms that mediate flavor-nutrient learning, and pharmacological influences on nutrient-conditioned preferences. Applicants with neuroanatomical and/or animal learning expertise are preferred. Send curriculum vitae and names of three references to Dr. Anthony Sclafani, Department of Psychology, Brooklyn College of CUNY, New York, 11210 USA. (Tel: 718-951-5606; Fax: 718-951-4824; email: Asclafani@gc.cuny.edu)

Calendar of Events

“Cognition and the Chemical Senses: Associations, Expectations and Interactions”, a symposium sponsored by the Miller Brewing Company, will be held June 13-15, 2001, The Marriot Lincolnshire, IL. For on-line registration visit: www.meetings-incentives.com/miller/beersymp.htm. For further information please contact: Dr. Subba Rao, Tel: 414-931-4970, email: Rao.Subba@mbco.com

The 2001 Annual Meeting of SSIB will be held at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA from June 26 to June 30, 2001.

The International Conference on the Physiology of Food and Fluid Intake (XIII) will be held at the Radisson Treetops Resort, Port Douglas, Far North Queensland, Australia, August 22-24, 2001 (arrival and departure functions on August 21st and 25th). For more details, visit www.hfi.unimelb.edu.au/ICPFFI2001.

The 34th International Congress of Physiological Sciences will be held in Christchurch, New Zealand, Aug. 26-31, 2001. The theme of this participatory congress is “From Molecule to Malady” and will bring together individuals and ideas from all areas of the physiological sciences. For further information, visit www.iups2001.org.nz.

The annual Society for Neuroscience meeting will be held November 10-15, 2001, in San Diego CA. For further information, visit www.sfn.org.

The next European Winter Conference on Brain Research will be held March 2-9, 2002 in Les Arcs (French Alps). S.Nicolaidis reports that the feeding and drinking sessions dominate this otherwise neurosciences-oriented conference. Colleagues in SSIB are encouraged to propose sessions that they will organize and chair before the end of June 2001 at ewcbr@citi2.fr.

The 10th Food Choice Conference will be held July 1-3, 2002, in Wageningen, The Netherlands, hosted in the Wageningen Food Valley by Dr C. de Graaf and colleagues, Human Nutrition, Agricultural University of Wageningen. Preliminary announcement and registration of interest to be circulated in June 2001.

Staying in touch...

General inquiries and suggestions:

Thomas R. Scott, Dean, College of Sciences
San Diego State University, 5500 Campanile Drive
San Diego, CA 92182-4610
Tel: 619-594-5142, Fax: 619-594-6381; Email: trscott@sciences.sdsu.edu

Dues, directory updates, & membership applications:

Marianne Van Wagner, Executive Coordinator
SSIB Central Office, 8181 Tezel Road, #10269
San Antonio, TX 78250
Tel: 210-682-4190, Fax: 210-682-4195; Email: ssib@ssib.org

Support for animal care concerns:

Animal Care and Use Committee Co-Chair,
Dr. Thomas R. Scott. Email: trscott@sciences.sdsu.edu

SSIB Website: <http://www.ssib.org>

Society for the Study of Ingestive Behavior
SSIB Central Office
8181 Tezel Road, #10269
San Antonio, TX 78250